

**KITTITAS COUNTY  
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**

411 N Ruby St, Ste 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926  
(509) 962-7506

---

**ORDER OF THE KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**

Property Owner(s): Joel Weber  
Mailing Address: 3120 100<sup>th</sup> Ave NE  
Bellevue, WA 98004  
Tax Parcel No(s): 218135  
Assessment Year: 2025 (Taxes Payable in 2026)  
Petition Number: BE-250034

Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby:  
**Sustained**  
the determination of the Assessor.

Assessor's Determination

Assessor's Land: \$387,000  
Assessor's Improvement: \$0  
TOTAL: \$387,000

Board of Equalization (BOE) Determination

BOE Land: \$387,000  
BOE Improvement: \$0  
TOTAL: \$387,000

**Those in attendance at the hearing and findings:**

See attached Recommendation and Proposed Decision of the Hearing Examiner.

Hearing Held On : October 22, 2025

Decision Entered On: November 13, 2025

Hearing Examiner: Jessica Hutchinson

Date Mailed: 11/24/25



Chairperson (of Authorized Designee)



Clerk of the Board of Equalization

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a Notice of Appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915, within THIRTY days of the date of mailing on this Order (RCW 84.08.130). The Notice of Appeal form is available from the Washington State Board of Tax Appeals or the Kittitas County Board of Equalization Clerk.

**KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION- PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION**

Appellants: Joel Weber  
Petition: BE-250034  
Parcel: 218135  
Address: Tamarack Ln, Snoqualmie Pass

Hearing: October 22, 2025 11:44 AM

Present at hearing:  
Dana Glenn, Appraiser  
Jessica Miller, Clerk

Testimony given:  
Dana Glenn

Assessor's determination:  
Land: \$387,000  
Improvements: \$0  
Total: \$387,000

Taxpayer's estimate:  
Land: \$0  
Improvements: \$0  
Total: \$0

**SUMMATION OF EVIDENCE PRESENTED AND FINDING OF FACT:**

The subject property is a vacant lot in a ski area of Snoqualmie Pass.

The appellant was not present at the hearing. Mr. Weber stated in his petition that he believes the property to be unbuildable because of the setbacks from Tunnel Creek. He provided an email from Community Development Service confirming the setbacks and a map showing the location of the creek through the lot.

Mr. Glenn stated that the subject property is a vacant lot backing up to the ski hill, an easement penciled in to show Tunnel Creek, which is described as a steep, seasonal creek (Type4). He noted that there is no hard evidence to suggest that the property is completely unbuildable. Mr. Glenn stated that he sent an email to a long range planner in the Community Development Service to inquire about a lot, and the response from that planner is that it is "exceedingly rare that a lot is unbuildable". He noted that there are other lots with similar setbacks that are able to be built on, and at the very least a site evaluation is needed to confirm that the property is truly unbuildable.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

“Upon review by any court, or appellate body, of a determination of the valuation of property for purposes of taxation, it shall be presumed that the determination of the public official charged with the duty of establishing such value is correct, but this presumption shall not be a defense against any correction indicated by clear, cogent and convincing evidence.” RCW 81.40.0301

In other words, the assessor’s determination of property value shall be presumed correct. The petitioner can overcome this presumption that the assessor’s value is correct only by presenting clear, cogent and convincing evidence otherwise.

“All real property in this state subject to taxation shall be listed and assessed every year, with reference to its value on the first day of January of the year in which it is assessed...”  
RCW 84.40.020

“The true and fair value of real property for taxation purposes...must be based upon the following criteria:

- (a) Any sales of the property being appraised or similar properties with respect to sales made within the past five years...
- (b) In addition to sales as defined in subsection (3)(a) of this section, consideration may be given to cost, cost less depreciation, reconstruction cost less depreciation, or capitalization of income that would be derived from prudent use of the property, as limited by law or ordinance...”

RCW 84.40.030(3)

“(1) In making its decision with respect to the value of property, the board shall use the criteria set forth in RCW 84.40.030.

(2) Parties may submit and boards may consider any sales of the subject property or similar properties which occurred prior to the hearing date so long as the requirements of RCW 84.40.030, 84.48.150, and WAC 458-14-066 are complied with. Only sales made within five years of the date of the petition shall be considered.

(3) Any sale of property prior to or after January 1<sup>st</sup> of the year of revaluation shall be adjusted to its value as of January 1 of the year of evaluation, reflecting market activity and using generally accepted appraisal methods...

(4) More weight shall be given to similar sales occurring closest to the assessment date which require the fewest adjustments for characteristics.”

WAC 458-14-087

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Hearing Examiner has determined that the appellant has not met the burden of proof to overturn the Assessed Value of the property with clear, cogent, and convincing evidence.

More information is needed to establish whether the property is unbuildable. A site evaluation is recommended as a first step to determining that fact.

Every finding of fact this is a conclusion of law shall be deemed as such. Every conclusion of law that contains a finding of fact shall be deemed as a finding of fact.

**PROPOSED DECISION:**

The Examiner proposes that the Kittitas County Board of Equalization uphold the Assessed Value.

DATED 11/12/25

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica Hutchinson, Hearing Examiner